

# Text mining Mill: Computationally detecting influence in the writings of John Stuart Mill from library records

---

Helen O'Neill

Department of English, Royal Holloway University of London,  
England

Anne Welsh

Beginning Cataloguing, London, England

David A. Smith

Khoury College of Computer Sciences, Northeastern University,  
USA

Glenn Roe

Faculty of Letters, Sorbonne University, Paris, France

Melissa Terras 

College of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences, University of  
Edinburgh, UK

---

## Abstract

How can computational methods illuminate the relationship between a leading intellectual, and their lifetime library membership? We report here on an international collaboration that explored the interrelation between the reading record and the publications of the British philosopher and economist John Stuart Mill, focusing on his relationship with the London Library, an independent lending library of which Mill was a member for 32 years. Building on detailed archival research of the London Library's lending and book donation records, a digital library of texts borrowed, and publications produced was assembled, which enabled natural language processing approaches to detect textual reuse and similarity, establishing the relationship between Mill and the Library. Text mining the books Mill borrowed and donated against his published outputs demonstrates that the collections of the London Library influenced his thought, transferred into his published oeuvre, and featured in his role as political commentator and public moralist. We reconceive archival library issue registers as data for triangulating against the growing body of digitized historical texts and the output of leading intellectual figures. We acknowledge, however, that this approach is dependent on

### Correspondence:

Helen O'Neill, Department of  
English, Royal Holloway  
University of London,  
England, UK.

### E-mail:

[helen.oneill@rhul.ac.uk](mailto:helen.oneill@rhul.ac.uk)

the resources and permissions to transcribe extant library registers, and on access to previously digitized sources. Related copyright and privacy restrictions mean our approach is most likely to succeed for other leading eighteenth- and nineteenth-century figures.

## 1 Introduction

How can we understand the relationship between the books an author consults in a library, and those they write? How can computational methods be used to trace how one individual library has affected the work and public interventions of an author? Under what circumstances will this be feasible, possible, or practical? We report here on an international collaboration that aimed to explore these issues via the reading and writings of the British philosopher, economist, and politician John Stuart Mill (1806–73), focusing on his relationship with the London Library, an independent lending library in London, UK, which Mill was an engaged member of for 32 years. Detailed archival research of the London Library's lending and donation records, followed by an assembly of a digital library of both these texts and the publications Mill produced, enabled text mining, and natural language processing (NLP) approaches to detect textual reuse and similarities between passages of writing in the texts, and further close reading to establish relationship, context, and meaning between works borrowed and works written.

Building on a closely documented analysis of the archival record, and related synthesis of the results (O'Neill, 2015, 2016, 2019), it is demonstrated that in text mining the books John Stuart Mill borrowed from and donated to the London Library against his published outputs, it is shown that the collections of the London Library influenced his thought, transferred into his published oeuvre, and featured in his role as political commentator. Intense periods of reading around a common theme can be identified in authorial practice, and books Mill consulted from the London Library can be found referenced extensively in his publications, showing this institution's importance to his work and public life, which had been previously unrecognized (O'Neill, 2015, 2016, 2019). This article concentrates on the computational approach used to underpin these findings.

Our findings will be useful to others wishing to compare and contrast the content and product of libraries regularly consulted by authors: we show that this combined archival and digital approach is an effective and efficient means to interrogate the historical borrowing record of a leading intellectual figure. We also demonstrate we have extended the remit of research that can be undertaken with authorial libraries, library issue registers, and borrowing records, fundamentally reconceiving library issue records as data, which can be used at the start of a digital continuum. We demonstrate how the triangulation of borrowing record, growing access to digitized resources, and the use of computational tools developed for literary study, holds a rich nexus for nineteenth century author and bibliographic studies. We also show that this approach is one which depends on interdisciplinary researchers working alongside computational methods rather than researchers depending entirely on automation. However, our approach has various dependencies: it is reliant upon the survival of historical and archival issue records, and on gaining the permissions and resources to consult them fully; it is dependent on full-text access to previously digitized resources (only a selection of which may be available due to copyright and other restrictions); the applicability of this method may be limited to other leading nineteenth-century intellectuals, given ethical concerns and additional complexities of modern privacy legislation.

## 2 John Stuart Mill and the London Library

J. S. Mill (1806–73), the influential nineteenth century British philosopher, civil servant, and political theorist, actively contributed to the fields of logic, ethics, philosophy, social theory, and political economy, foregrounding utilitarian and liberal approaches (Packe, 1954, Ryan, 1970, Hollander, 1985, Reeves,



for work linking between pre-digital and digitized texts to ‘enhance the digitisation efforts of authorial libraries by producing interoperable and comparable digital sources’ (10). We employ Digital Humanities tools to interrogate transcribed and collated borrowing records (previously held only in issue registers and a range of institutional archival sources) and provenance information for books donated by certain members (previously available only in dispersed paper records and ownership marks on the books themselves). As scholars including Pearson (2019), Oram (2014), and Darnton (1990) have highlighted, research into such sources is significant within the history of libraries, and more widely within cultural history. As early as 1939, Keynes asserted that ‘The mind of Man is recorded in his books, and the catalogues of the great libraries enable the individual to consult the universal mind’, (1980, p. 3): early studies acknowledged the need for assistance from library staff with access to institutional records and expertise in unpicking them (see, e.g. Keynes, but also Harding, 1957 on Thoreau). Previous research on the London Library issue registers has depended on this supported and approved access, but required additional, intense close reading of often difficult to decipher and transcribe content<sup>3</sup> (Baker, 1981, Atkinson, 2013), as was the case in O’Neill’s foundational work (2015, 2016, 2019), although earlier work did not then rely on digital methods, to extrapolate findings further.

Gribben’s call in 1986 for collaboration between historians, computer scientists, and librarians has been realized in many digital author library projects, including Melville’s Marginalia Online.<sup>4</sup> The Freud Library (Davies and Fichnter, 2006), The Gladstone Reading Database,<sup>5</sup> and the multi-national and crowdsourced RED, The Reading Experience Database.<sup>6</sup> However, digital research upon author’s libraries ‘is currently limited to the provision of either digital catalogs that make library metadata available . . . or of simple digital copies, which are offered in a viewer and/or as a PDF download’ (Busch *et al.*, 2019, although they tackle this by developing a prototype visualization of Theodor Fontane’s Library, in particular to identify patterns in marginalia). A recently funded project, Books and Borrowing 1750–1830: An Analysis of Scottish Borrowers’ Registers<sup>7</sup> (2020–23), aims to reveal hidden histories of book use, knowledge dissemination, and participation in literate culture,

but is yet to report. The advances in this article move beyond digital catalogue or visualization, demonstrating the affordances of sequence alignment techniques to identify textual matches between items borrowed and items written by an author at scale.

The identification of similarities and relationships between passages in large collections of historical texts—including direct quotations, commonplace expressions, plagiarisms, and other forms of borrowings—is of great interest to a variety of humanities scholars, as it can advance our understanding of influence, writing habits, and ethical approaches in a writer’s work, while ‘placing it in a larger intellectual and cultural context’ (Olsen *et al.*, 2011). Relationships between texts are complex and often multi-faceted, ranging from directly attributed quotations to influences and allusions, and a key approach in humanistic study is tracing these relationships (Jardine and Grafton, 1990). Intertextuality is a rich area of technical and theoretical research development, requiring collaboration between computer science and the digital humanities to build upon and utilize the growing number of digitized texts available to researchers via mass digitization sourced from either commercial (Google Books, Microsoft, Gale Cengage), government (Library of Congress, Bibliothèque nationale de France), or non-profit (Internet Archive, HathiTrust, Project Gutenberg) providers (Olsen, 2009, Smith *et al.*, 2019a). Machine-assisted reading can be used to identify intertextuality, particularly when ‘faced with the intricacies of text recycling in historical and literary works, along with the frequently degraded status in which these texts are currently made available’ (Olsen *et al.*, 2011), although it has been argued that this is an ‘undertheorized’ practice in the Humanities (Underwood, 2014).

Here, we are concerned with Text Recycling, or local text reuse, which identifies small regions of similarity, ignoring large amounts of difference, predicated on the pairwise comparison of many documents to identify typically infrequent instances (Seo and Croft, 2008).<sup>8</sup> There are many NLP approaches that can be used to do so (see Graham, 2019 and Smith *et al.*, 2019b for overviews). Sequence alignment, which ‘divides the source and target strings into overlapping sets of consecutive words . . . called “shingles” or “*n*-grams”’ (Graham, 2019, p. 122) is widely used in

bioinformatics, and as the basis for many plagiarism detection algorithms (Lyon *et al.*, 2001, Bourdaillet and Ganascia, 2006). However, it has also been used by humanities scholars to detect sources, influence, and allusion in historical texts: in Classical Latin poetry (Bamman and Crane, 2008), in the eighteenth-century *Encyclopédie* of Denis Diderot and Jean d’Alembert (Edelstein *et al.*, 2013; Roe, 2018), in detecting reuse of Homeric epics across 15 million words of Greek and 10 million words of Latin (Büchler *et al.*, 2012). Coffee *et al.*, 2012 examined allusions to Vergil’s *Aeneid* in the first book of Lucan’s *Civil War* (2012). Büchler *et al.* extract relationships between different English editions of the Holy Bible (2014). Franzini detected similarities in English translations of the Polish romantic epic *Pan Tadeusz* by Franzini (2016).

Sequence alignment algorithms vary in complexity and resulting computational tractability. An alternative approach using *n*-gram matching, is presented in Ganascia *et al.* (2014). Smith *et al.* (2013) detect clusters of reused texts to analyse the culture of reprinting in newspapers in the USA before the American Civil War, refining the *n*-gram shingling approach to optimize effectiveness and efficiency by employing hashing for space-efficient indexing or repetition and local alignment techniques to find compact passages with the highest probability of matching. This approach was also used to trace the flow of policy ideas in legislation (Wilkerson *et al.*, 2015; Funk and Mullen, 2018). Recent developments in this method have also included visualization of results to support interpretation (Abdul-Rahman *et al.*, 2017). However, although computational detection of textual reuse is becoming an established method in humanistic study, we have uncovered no previous application of this approach to authors’ libraries or borrowing records.

## 4 Method

Our research consisted of four distinct stages. Firstly, O’Neill compiled the list of Mill’s borrowing record of books held within the London Library, which was foundational archival research on both loans and donations records (2015, 2016, 2019). Secondly, O’Neill compiled a digital corpus of books written by Mill, from extant online sources (O’Neill, 2016, 2019).

Thirdly, sequence alignment NLP approaches to align subsequences and then cluster common passages were used to identify commonalities in texts between the books Mill wrote, and those he read. Fourthly, analysis of the results of text mining enabled understanding of the relationship between Mill’s London Library borrowing record and his published output (O’Neill, 2016, 2019). We detail our approach, its successes, and its shortcomings, here.

This research was given ethical approval from University College London’s (UCL) Department of Information Studies. Given the timescale of the author records in question, there are no concerns regarding the General Data Protection Regulation or the need to obtain permissions from the individuals involved.

## 5 Library record compilation

This research depended on the time-consuming, detailed archival work with the library’s extant loan records (see Figure 1) undertaken by O’Neill, and the permission from the London Library to do so. The challenges of such archival work are presented in O’Neill (2016, p. 258; 2019, p. 190). Additionally, identifying books donated by Mill within the collection required forensic and extensive consulting of 34 years of internal Library administrative records, catalogues, and supplements (O’Neill 2016, p. 260; 2019, p. 190). The extracted loans data presents a unique corpus of 430 books consulted by Mill, albeit for a finite period from the early part of his membership (1842–9 and 1856–7), given the extant London Library issue registers: he is therefore likely to have consulted far more over his membership. This may be a topic for future research with these methods: estimating the probability that Mill quotes from books within the London Library, using their cataloguing and accession records to compile a wider corpus, and detecting matches in his output. In addition, Mill’s donations were marked by 3 significant deposits over 3 decades totalling 165 titles (see O’Neill 2016, p. 269–276 or 2019, 379–390 for a complete listing). The records of the books loaned and donated were transcribed and entered into an Excel spreadsheet in order to enable further analysis.



**Fig. 1** London Library Issue Book No. 3 showing Mill's intensive borrowing record during 1845, London Library Issue Book Number 3, p. 529. The horizontal lines indicate the return of individual books. The vertical lines indicate that all the books listed on the page have been returned. This is representative of the type of library issue record that required transcription and identification from Mill's loan record. Image reproduced with the kind permission of the London Library. © The London Library

## 6 Assembling the virtual library

O'Neill attempted to source digitized, machine-searchable text of the 595 book titles Mill was shown to have consulted within the collections of the London Library, being careful to identify exact editions required where possible, from the Internet Archive.<sup>9</sup> While the digitization of texts 'afford opportunities for more extensive, data-rich and quantitative approaches to literary historical scholarship' (Bode, 2012, p. 1), it was unfortunately not possible to locate previously digitized versions of all of the titles. About 255 of the 435 books Mill borrowed (59%), and 91 of the 165 books he donated (55%) were obtained in

machine-processable format. A limitation to this research approach is the still patchy digitization landscape (Nauta et al., 2017). We also acknowledge the limitations that poor OCR of digitized texts can inject into this process, and that depending on the quality of previously digitized content can affect research outputs in unknown ways (Cordell, 2017).

Given Mill heavily revised certain monographs, we were dependent on the scholarly edition of Mill's *Collected Works* (Mill, ed. Robson 1963–91, henceforth referred to as *CW*), available in an accessible format in the Online Library of Liberty,<sup>10</sup> which facilitated and accelerated close reading of textual matching. Our choice of subject matter is only suitable



(Bode 2012, p. 10). The results from our searches required extensive close reading and synthesis from the researcher, Helen O'Neill, in a process that combines 'digital and computational methods with traditional modes of literary analysis' (Rosen, 2011). The distant reading approaches used here are a 'supplement to traditional close reading practices', as an example of how 'the invaluable resource of digital archives and the utility of searchable databases can be most rewarding when deployed in concert with close reading, archival research skills, and careful argumentation' that 'attend to the complexity and contingency of historical phenomena' (Rosen, 2011). The computational analysis therefore pinpointed where close reading analysis should occur, allowing us to 'quantify without losing the disruptive detail and splitting significations to which we have learned to attend' (Rothberg, 2010, p. 343), as a 'productive way of integrating empirical data with the paradigm of humanities knowledge as a critical, analytic and speculative process of enquiry' (Bode, 2012, p. 8). The scale and scope of the texts in question requires computational approaches for the identification of potential matches to be feasible; however, the results from this process require in-depth human synthesis and analysis to understand trends and assign meaning.

Textual alignment methods have a bias, as mentioned above, towards high-precision, surface-level matches. Other research projects to apply text-reuse methods to literary influence—such as the Tesseract project at Buffalo (Coffee *et al.*, 2012) or the eTRAP project at Göttingen (Franzini, 2016)—have focused significant effort on improving recall, e.g. by parameterizing textual variation with synonym dictionaries and part-of-speech substitution rules. However, alignment methods are useful as null models of textual influence. Since each mutation of a text in passing from source to destination is equally likely, we can establish a baseline for future investigations that account in a more nuanced way for authors' transmutation of their sources. In a similar way, null models of gene drift establish a baseline against which certain genes may be deemed adaptive. Textual alignment focuses our attention on the most likely matches. While we can evaluate the (generally high) precision of these methods, it is impractical to perform an exhaustive evaluation of recall. The more one relaxes the matching parameters—to attempt to capture allusion, or 'indefinite or diffused

source' (Altick, 1975, p. 94) for example—the more noise is introduced into the system, which can often overwhelm the signal of re-uses.

For this project, the use of metadata in triangulating with ownership and borrowing records allows us to check model output with independent observations to some extent, we are well aware that subtle allusions, unconscious borrowings, and lapses of memory may pass unrecorded (and may be better served by alternative methods such as topic modelling, or stylometric analysis). This uncertainty at the level of individual instances of text reuse, however, can be mitigated by aggregating our analysis at the level of books—which also happens to be the level of our bibliographic analysis. While books whose only contribution to Mill's writing was indirect may thus escape detection, we are more confident in finding the books that made some direct textual contribution. State-of-the-art language models exhibit a growing sensitivity to a range of genres and long-distance dependencies among lexical choices. While these capabilities have to date been fine-tuned on fairly shallow paraphrasing, translation, and question-answering tasks, we expect that future directions in text-reuse research will focus on systems that combine search in dense contextual embedding spaces with models of text mutation trained on collections of documents and their sources.

## 8 Results

### 8.1 Understanding the borrowing record

The compilation of Mill's borrowing record is fascinating in itself as a snapshot of the zeitgeist of his age. From the works of leading European economists, philosophers, and historians, to children's books, it reveals Mill's lifelong interest in and affection for all things French; his active engagement with European culture; his attentiveness to women's writing, actions and opinions; and his focus on the economic, political, social, and cultural developments in countries, colonies, and continents across the globe. For a complete analysis of Mill's loans and donations by title and theme, see O'Neill (2015, 2016, 2019).

### 8.2 Results from text mining

Of interest here is the textual matching between loan and publication, where text mining has highlighted







the numbers of politicians, civil servants, academics, clerics, writers, and prime ministers who were Victorian members of the London Library, Mill's donations introduced ideas from other countries and on contentious issues onto the bookshelves of some of the most significant power brokers in Victorian London in a way which was undetectable given the absence of Mill's personal book label or signature, perhaps avoiding his identification as a radical influence (O'Neill, 2016, p. 277). Currently, work on the corpus continues, as a case study for advanced matching algorithms. It would be logical to extend this work, including: incorporating the titles from Mill's private library held at Somerville College, Oxford into our source texts; returning to the list of required books and using a wider variety of online sources to see if these were now available<sup>14</sup> in digitized format for inclusion in our target texts; extending beyond the mining of Mill's monographs to also using this technique to compare Mill's correspondence, speeches, and articles in the *CW* against his London Library loans and donations; and applying statistical models to see if the quotations present in Mill's writing temporally align with his borrowing record.

This approach should be feasible for other authors, provided access to library issue registers and institutional archival records were possible, and the resources are available to gather the required data upon which to build analysis. It was not our intention to compare available software for text alignment in this study, but we would recommend using TextPAIR to identify core matches between texts and deploying Passim when the OCR is known to be more problematic, or where the volume of texts to be searched would benefit from parallelization, given the differences in computational requirements between the two tools. Both TextPAIR and Passim provided very useful results for this study, and are effective and advantageous computational tools, available for others to employ.

However, there are a variety of generic limitations to this research, which is dependent on a body of existing digitized content, including Mill's oeuvre, and the texts he read (even though we could not get access to digital surrogates of all titles required). Not all authorial figures have their writings digitized so completely, and therefore this method could be most successfully applied to authors whose outputs have benefited from prior digitization, building upon known biases

within the historical digital canon which may have consequences for our understanding of the past (Putnam, 2016, Hauswedell *et al.*, 2020). Digitization of cultural heritage content remains incomplete and uneven (Nauta *et al.*, 2017). It is difficult to understand how different our results would be with access to a full set of digitized texts, and we have to provide methodological explanation to continually grapple with incomplete corpora and representativeness (Bauer and Aarts, 2000). We are at the mercy of prior digitization activities, including quality control for generation of high enough quality OCR transcripts to allow even advanced NLP algorithms to identify potential matches, and little information is provided to researchers about the digitization process and how this may affect text-mining approaches (Cordell, 2017; Hauswedell *et al.*, 2020). Researchers operating within this space should therefore do so in a critical manner to understand how the digitization process may be shaping their findings.

Furthermore, there is a legal component to both the affordances of this methodology. Copyright remains a driving force of digitization practices and 'the nineteenth century is particularly well represented in digital archives, owing perhaps to its 'goldilocks' (or just right) conservation-copyright status' than specific academic rationales (Hauswedell *et al.*, 2020). Influences on the writings of other Victorian figures may be successfully analysed using our method, but this is not the case for more recent authors, due to the '20th century black hole' in our digitized cultural heritage (Fallon and Uceda Gomez, 2015). It is also unlikely that researchers will be able to access the borrowing records of modern writers without explicit consent, due to changes in privacy legislation and the resulting appropriate responses from the library sector (Bowers, 2006; Dowling, 2017; Bailey, 2018): it is unlikely that modern reading records will survive to enable this type of research. We therefore suggest that this method is applicable to the reading and writing of authors beyond Mill, but is most likely to succeed, or even only be possible, for other leading figures professionally active from the mid-eighteenth to early-twentieth centuries.

## 10 Conclusion

Text mining the books John Stuart Mill borrowed from and donated to the London Library against his

published outputs has shown that the collections of the London Library influenced his thought, transferred into his published oeuvre and featured in his role as political commentator and public moralist. This research has moved discourse about the impact of the London Library onto an evidential footing, and also provides a proven methodological approach from which to approach future case studies involving understanding and mining the reading records of other nineteenth century intellectual figures, in order to detect and analyse influence in their published oeuvre. Identifying and showing these links benefited from interleaving computational matching (or ‘distant reading’), and detailed, or ‘close reading’ undertaken on both archival registers and authorial outputs. We therefore believe we have demonstrated a virtuous relationship between archival research, computational analysis, and close textual scholarship, which will be a fruitful triangulation for others to explore in author library studies. Opportunities in this area will continue to advance in the future, as the digital resources that are the result of mass digitization of historical texts grow. However, given the current digitization landscape, and complexities associated with privacy legislation, this method is most likely to be successful for other leading eighteenth and nineteenth century figures, particularly where prior digitization of their works has already been undertaken, given the dependencies identified.

## Acknowledgements

This Ph.D. research was undertaken by Dr Helen A. O’Neill at UCL (O’Neill 2019). We would like to thank the former Librarian, Inez Lynn, and the Trustees of the London Library for allowing access to the Library’s historic institutional records. Development of the Passim software was supported in part by NEH Digital Humanities Start-Up Grant #HD-51728-13 and by a grant from the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation’s Scholarly Communications and Information Technology program. Any views, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the NEH or Mellon.

## References

- Abdul-Rahman, A., Roe, G., Olsen, M., et al.** (2017). Constructive visual analytics for text similarity detection. *Computer Graphics Forum*, **36**(1): 237–48.
- Altick.** (1975). *The Art of Literary Research*. Revised Edition. London: W. W. Norton and Co.
- Antonini, A., Benatti, F., and Blackburn-Daniels, S.** (2020). On Links To Be: Exercises in Style #2. In: *31st ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media* (HT’20), 13–15 July 2020.
- Atkinson, J.** (2013). The London Library and the circulation of French fiction in the 1840s. *Information & Culture*, **48**(4): 391–418.
- Babbage, C.** (1835). *Economy of Machinery and Manufactures*. London: Charles Knight.
- Bailey, J.** (2018). Data protection in UK library and information services: Are we ready for GDPR? *Legal Information Management*, **18**(1): 28–34.
- Baker, W.** (1981). The London Library Borrowings of Thomas Carlyle, 1841–1844. *Library Review*, **30**(2): 89–95.
- Baker, W.** (1988). The London Library: A Study of its Early Rules and Regulations. *Library Review*, **37**(2), 33–41.
- Baker, W.** (1990). J.G. Cochrane and the London Library at Pall Mall. *Library History*, **8**(6), 171–9.
- Baker, W.** (1992). *The Early History of the London Library*. New York; Lampeter: Edwin Mellen Lewiston.
- Bamman, D. and Crane, G.** (2008). The logic and discovery of textual allusion. *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Language Technology for Cultural Heritage Data* (LaTeCH 2008). Language Technology for Cultural Heritage Data 2008, Marrakech, <http://www.perseus.tufts.edu/~amahoney/latech2008.pdf> (accessed 1 June 2008).
- Bauer, M. W. and Aarts, B.** (2000). Corpus construction: A principle for qualitative data collection. In Bauer, M.A. and Gaskwll, G. (eds), *Qualitative Researching with Text, Image and Sound: A Practical Handbook*. London: Sagem, pp. 19–37.
- Bode, K.** (2012). *Reading by Numbers: Recalibrating the Literary Field*. London: Anthem Press.
- Bourdaillet, J. and Ganascia, J. G.** (2006). *MEDITE: A unilingual textual aligner*. In *International Conference on Natural Language Processing*, Finland, 23–24 August 2006, pp. 458–69.
- Bowers, F.** (2005). *Principles of Bibliographical Description*. New Castle, Delaware: Oak Knoll.
- Bowers, S. L.** (2006). Privacy and library records. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, **32**(4): 377–83.



- Jungman, R. and Tabor, C.** (2000). "A Generation of Leaves": Homeric allusion in chapter five of Hemingway's 'In Our Time'. *The Hemingway Review*, 19(2): 108.
- Keynes, G.** (1980). *The Library of Edward Gibbon: A Catalogue*, 2nd edn. Charlottesville: University of Virginia Press.
- Kokkinakis, D. and Malm, M.** (2015). *Detecting reuse of Biblical quotes in Swedish 19th Century Fiction Using Sequence Alignment*. In *Corpus-Based Research in the Humanities (CRH)*, Poland, 10 December 2015, pp. 79–80.
- Leinwand, T.** (2016). *The Great William: Writers Reading Shakespeare*. London: Chicago University Press.
- Lynn, I.** (2006). *Modernising to Stay the Same: The London Library Refurbishment*. Library & Information Update (7–8), pp. 27–30.
- Lyon, C., Malcolm, J. and Dickerson, B.** (2001). *Detecting short passages of similar text in large document collections*. In *Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, Pittsburgh, 3–4 June 2001, pp. 118–25.
- McIntyre, T.** (2006). *The Library Book: An Architectural Journey through the London Library 1841–2006*. London: London Library.
- Melville's Marginalia Online.** (2019). <http://melvillemarginalia.org> (accessed 2 June 2019).
- Mill, J. S.** (1848). *Principles of Political Economy*. London: John W Parker.
- Mill, J. S.** (1859). *On Liberty*. London: John W Parker & Son.
- Mill, J. S.** (1863). *Utilitarianism*. New edn. London: Parker, Son and Bourn.
- Mill, J. S.** (1869). *The Subjection of Women*. London: Longmans, Green, Reader and Dyer.
- Mill, J. S.** (1874). *Three Essays on Religion*. New York: Henry Holt and Co.
- Mill, J. S.** (1963–91). *The Collected Works of John Stuart Mill*. Toronto: University of Toronto Press.
- Miller, C.** (2012). *Reading in Time: Emily Dickinson in the Nineteenth Century*. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press.
- Nauta, G. J., van den Heuvel, W. and Teunisse, S.** (2017). "Europeana DSI 2– Access to Digital Resources of European Heritage: D4.4. Report on ENUMERATE Core Survey 4". *Europeana*. [https://pro.europeana.eu/files/Europeana\\_Professional/Projects/Project\\_list/ENUMERATE/deliverables/DSI-2\\_Deliverable%20D4.4\\_Europeana\\_Report%20on%20ENUMERATE%20Core%20Survey%204.pdf](https://pro.europeana.eu/files/Europeana_Professional/Projects/Project_list/ENUMERATE/deliverables/DSI-2_Deliverable%20D4.4_Europeana_Report%20on%20ENUMERATE%20Core%20Survey%204.pdf) (accessed 11 March 2020).
- Nowell-Smith, S.** (1958). Carlyle and the London Library. In Oldman, C. B., Munford, W. A., and Nowell-Smith, S. (eds), *English Libraries 1800–1850: Three lectures delivered at University College London*. London: H.K. Lewis & Co., pp. 59–78.
- Nowell-Smith, S.** (1958). *English Libraries 1800–1850*. London: H. K. Lewis and Co, pp. 59–78.
- Nowell-Smith, S.** (1972). London Library Occasions. *Times Literary Supplement* (18 February 1972), pp. 187–188.
- O'Neill, H.** (2015). The London Library and the Intelligentsia of Victorian London. *Carlyle Studies Annual*, No. 31, pp. 183–216. [www.jstor.org/stable/26594492](http://www.jstor.org/stable/26594492) (accessed 28 May 2020).
- O'Neill, H.** (2016). John Stuart Mill and the London Library: A Victorian book legacy revealed. *Book History*, 19: 256–83.
- O'Neill, H.** (2019). *The Role of Data Analytics in Assessing Historical Library Impact: The Victorian Intelligentsia and the London Library*. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, University College London.
- Ohge, C. and Olsen-Smith, S.** (2018). Introduction: Computation and Digital Text Analysis at Melville's Marginalia Online. *Leviathan*, 20(2): 1–16.
- Ohge, C., Olsen-Smith, S., Barney-Smith, E., et al.** (2018). At the Axis of Reality: Melville's Marginalia in The Dramatic Works of William Shakespeare. *Leviathan*, 20(2): 37–67.
- Olsen, M.** (2009). Sequence alignment and the discovery of inter-textual relations. *ARTFL project*. [https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1tDH9PEkoYMKrnaqm9PMxVvunnVzL81RtV7S\\_bCFM2Bg/present?slide=id.i0](https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1tDH9PEkoYMKrnaqm9PMxVvunnVzL81RtV7S_bCFM2Bg/present?slide=id.i0) (accessed 11 March 2020).
- Olsen, M., Horton, R. and Roe, G.** (2011). Something borrowed: Sequence alignment and the identification of similar passages in large text collections. *Digital Studies/Le Champ Numérique*, 2(1).
- Olsen-Smith, S., Norberg, P. and Marnon, D. C. (eds) (2009–2019). *Melville's Marginalia Online*. <http://www.melvillemarginalia.org> (accessed 2 June 2019).
- Oram, R. W.** (2014). Writers' libraries: Historical overview and curatorial considerations. In Oram, R. W., with Nicholson, J. (eds), *Collecting, Curating, and Researching Writers' Libraries: A Handbook*. Lanham, Maryland: Rowman & Littlefield, pp. 1–28.
- Packe, M. S. J.** (1954). *The Life of John Stuart Mill*. London: Secker and Warburg.
- Passy, M. H.** (1846). *Des Systemes de Culture, et de Leur Influence sur l'economie Sociale*. Paris: pary de France.
- Pearson, D.** (2019). *Provenance Research in Book History: A Handbook*. New and Rev. edn. New Castle, Delaware: Oak Knoll.

- Putnam, L.** (2016). The transnational and the text-searchable: Digitized sources and the shadows they cast. *The American Historical Review*, **121**(2): 377–402.
- Raven, J.** (2018). *What is the History of the Book?* Cambridge: Polity.
- RED**, *The Reading Experience Database*. <http://www.open.ac.uk/Arts/reading/> (accessed 2 June 2019).
- Reeves, R.** (2015). *John Stuart Mill: Victorian firebrand*. London: Atlantic Books.
- Reynolds, M. S.** (1981). *Hemingway's Reading, 1910-1940: An Inventory*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Reynolds, M. S.** (1986). A supplement to Hemingway's reading, 1910-1940. *Studies in American Fiction* **14**(1): 99.
- Roe, G. H.** (2012). *Intertextuality and Influence in the Age of Enlightenment: Sequence Alignment Applications for Humanities Research*. In *Digital Humanities 2012*, Hamburg, 16–20 July 2012, pp. 345–7.
- Roe, G.** (2018). A Sheep in Wolff's Clothing: Émilie Du Châtelet and the *Encyclopédie*. *Eighteenth-Century Studies*, **51**(2): 179–96.
- Rosen, J.** (2011). *Combining Close and Distant, or the Utility of Genre Analysis: A Response to Matthew Wilkens's 'Contemporary Fiction by the Numbers'*. *Post45*, 3 December 2011. <http://post45.research.yale.edu/2011/12/combining-close-and-distant-or-the-utility-of-genre-analysis-a-response-to-matthew-wilkens-contemporary-fiction-by-the-numbers/> (accessed 11 March 2020).
- Rothberg, M.** (2010). Quantifying culture?: A response to Eric Slauter. *American Literary History*, **22**(2): 341–6.
- Ryan, A.** (1970). *The Philosophy of John Stuart Mill*. Amherst: Humanity Books.
- Schmidt, D. and Colomb, R.** (2009). A data structure for representing multi-version texts online. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, **67**(6): 497–514.
- Schmidt, D. and Fiormonte, D.** (2010). Documenti Multiversione: una soluzione per gli artefatti testuali del patrimonio culturale. *Multi-Version Documents: a Digitisation Solution for Textual Cultural Heritage Artefacts*. [https://scienzepolitiche.uniroma3.it/dfiormonte/wp-content/uploads/sites/97/2013/11/intelligenza\\_artificiale-1.pdf](https://scienzepolitiche.uniroma3.it/dfiormonte/wp-content/uploads/sites/97/2013/11/intelligenza_artificiale-1.pdf) (accessed 11 March 2020).
- Sealts, M. M.** (1966). *Melville's Reading: A Check-List of Books Owned and Borrowed*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Sealts, M. M.** (1982). *Pursuing Melville, 1940-1980: Chapters and Essays*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Seo, J. and Croft, W. B.** (2008). Local text reuse detection. In *Proceedings of the 31st Annual International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, Singapore, July 2008, pp. 571–8.
- Simonde de Sismondi, J. C. L.** (1819). *Nouveaux Principes d'économie Politique*. 2 vols. Paris: Delaunay.
- Simonde de Sismondi, J. C. L.** (1837–8). *Etudes sur L'économie Politique*. Brussels: H. Dumont.
- Smith, D. A.** (2019). Improving optical character recognition and tracking reader annotations in printed books by collating and transcribing multiple exemplars. Funder: National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH), Grant number: HAA-263837-19. <https://app.dimensions.ai/details/grant/grant.8385506> (accessed on 4 May 2020).
- Smith, D. A., Cordell, R., and Dillon, E. M.** (2013). *Infectious texts: Modeling text reuse in nineteenth-century newspapers*. *IEEE International Conference on Big Data*, Santa Clara, 6–9 October 2013, pp. 86–94.
- Smith, D. A., Cordell, R., Mullen, A., and Fitzgerald, J. D.** (2019a). Mass digitization. *Going the Rounds: Virality in Nineteenth-Century American Newspapers*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Smith, D. A., Cordell, R., Mullen, A., and Fitzgerald, J. D.** (2019b). What is text, probably? *Going the Rounds: Virality in Nineteenth-Century American Newspapers*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Smith, D. A., Cordell, R., Mullen, A., and Fitzgerald, J. D.** (2019c). Text reuse. *Going the Rounds: Virality in Nineteenth-Century American Newspapers*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Smith, T. F. and Waterman, M. S.** (1981). Identification of common molecular subsequences. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, **147**(1):195–7.
- Tanselle, G. T.** (2009). *Bibliographical Analysis: A Historical Introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Terras, M.** (2013). “Does anyone know if folks have used Turnitin to detect plagiarism in historical texts? Would it work? ie stuff published 1800s?” *Tweet*. 6 March 2013. <https://twitter.com/melissaterras/status/309251138799144960> (accessed 11 March 2020).
- Tyler, L.** (1995). Passion and grief in a farewell to arms: Ernest Hemingway's retelling of wuthering heights. *The Hemingway Review* **14**(2): 79.
- Underwood, T.** (2014). Theorizing research practices we forgot to theorize twenty years ago. *Representations*, **127**(1): 64–72.
- Underwood, T.** (2017). A genealogy of distant reading. *Digital Humanities Quarterly*, **11**(2).
- Vesanto, A., Nivala, A., Rantala, H., Salakoski, T., Salmi, H., and Ginter, F.** (2017). *Applying BLAST to Text Reuse*

